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Abstract
Concepts such psychological contract, trust, and alienation are of great importance for organizations today. Due to the unique characteristics of healthcare services in particular, healthcare employees are adversely affected by such concepts, which may result in an even heavier burden. The objective of this research was to determine the effects of healthcare employees' perceptions toward psychological contract violations on their organizational trust and alienation levels. For this purpose, a survey was conducted on 110 employees working in a public hospital in the province of Konya, Turkey. According to this study's findings, while organizational trust was found to decrease and organizational alienation increased as participants' perceived psychological contract violation increased, organizational alienation was found to decrease as trust in one's manager and colleagues increased.
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There are two forms of employment relationships in organizations: formal contracts and psychological contracts. Formal contracts incorporate formal relationships between managers and other employees, which are formally observable and measurable. Psychological contracts, however, are related to behavioral relationships between the specified parties, and although they cannot be seen, they certainly exist (Tipple & Krivokapic-Skoko, n.d., p. 1). The psychological contract is defined as “the sum of unwritten, unspoken expectations between an individual and a business” (Arslan & Ulaş, 2004, p. 103) and is a concept related to perceptions, beliefs, expectations, promises, and obligations (Guest, 1998, p. 651). This concept is particularly important in the establishment of positive relationships between the organization and its employees in today’s work life. Psychological contracts are a part of employment relationships beginning from the first stage of the recruitment process (Özler & Ünver, 2012, p. 326). Since psychological contracts consist of individuals’ personal perceptions and since perceptions vary from person to person, psychological contracts are subjective (Kırel, 2003, p. 278; Şahin, 2010, p. 97). Employees’ perceptions of psychological contract violations can emerge in various ways. According to Morrison and Robinson (1997, p. 240), employees compare the organization’s promises and the degree of their being fulfilled, and if they feel that the result of this comparison is to their disadvantage, they perceive a breach to the psychological contract. Since when an employee perceives that his employing organization has violated the psychological contract, he may not want to fulfill the contractual obligations required of him, adverse changes may occur in employees’ attitudes and behaviors toward their employing organization (Kırel, 2003, p. 281).

One of the concepts held to be associated with perceptions of psychological contract violation is organizational trust. Trust is defined as “the confidence in a person’s purpose, expectations, and words, on the one hand, or the willingness to commit to people, believing in their words and behaviors, on the other” (Memduhoğlu & Zengin, 2010, p. 261). Organizational trust involves an employee’s trust in his employing organization, manager, and colleagues (Büte, 2011, p. 175). Since organizational trust plays an important role in the happiness and enthusiasm levels of employees while they are working (Şakar, 2010, p. 35), it is more than just an important element of modern organizations, it is considered as the very glue that holds everything together (Barutçugil, 2002, p. 104). Employees working for organizations with a high level of trust solve problems and overcome obstacles more easily (Barutçugil, 2002, p. 100). Trust enables employees to freely express their thoughts and feelings, improves organizational communication, and strengthens solidarity and team spirit, resulting in a positive effect on employees’ performances (Memduhoğlu & Zengin, 2010, p. 261). Creating an environment of trust, which is of utmost importance in organizational terms, requires a long period of time to achieve. Organizations can earn trust by keeping their promises in the long term. In other words, promises made should be fulfilled in a consistent manner in order for the organization to earn its employees’ trust (İslamoğlu, Birsel, & Börü, 2007, p. 131).
Another concept held to be associated with perceptions of psychological contract violation is organizational alienation. People who spend a significant portion of their lives at work should normally feel integrated into their organization and work environment. However, this is not always the case. It is occasionally observed that some employees are neither happy nor content at work and find themselves alienated from their employing organization (Şirin, 2009, p. 166). The concept of alienation, which represents “a person’s feelings of separation or isolation from his or her work, environment, or self” (Ünsar & Karahan, 2011, p. 361), has two different meanings. The first one is in a socio-psychological sense; when an individual is estranged from his organization or group and no longer feels a sense of belonging to that organization. The second is in a philosophical sense; when an individual is transformed into an object and loses his or her identity (Bell, 1959, p. 933). There are several causes of employees’ feeling alienated from their employing organization, which include work conditions (Banai et al., 2004, p. 377), routine work (Ulusoy, 1988), the employee’s inability to control the word work he does or to realize himself, and organizational health problems (Tutar, 2010, p. 177, 183). As in the case of organizational mistrust, alienation entails serious negative effects on organizations, including an increased tendency of employees to quit their job (Ünsar & Karahan, 2011), organizational health problems, increased failure and work-related stress (Tutar, 2010, p. 177), decreased productivity (Ünsar & Karahan, 2011, p. 361), job satisfaction and performance, and the potential to cause a decline in a person’s distinguishing characteristics (Tutar, 2010).

It is apparent that such concepts as psychological contract, trust, and alienation are of great importance for organizations today. Due to the unique characteristics of healthcare services in particular and because the healthcare sector is a sector directly related to human life, healthcare employees are adversely affected by such concepts, which may result in a heavier burden on them.

Method

Purpose and Importance of the Study

This study has aimed to determine the effects of hospital employees’ perceptions toward psychological contract violation on their organizational trust and alienation levels. Healthcare workers who are not alienated from and who trust their employing institutions will no doubt improve the quality and efficiency of their services. Given that the healthcare services are directly related to human health, it becomes much more important that such services should be provided by employees experiencing lower levels of alienation and who trust their employing institution. The objective of the present research is to establish the effects of the hospital staff’s perceptions toward psychological contract violation on their levels of trust in and alienation from their employing institution.
Universe and Sample
The population of the study consisted of the employees (physicians, midwives, nurses, x-ray - laboratory technicians and administrative staff) of a public hospital in the province of Konya, Turkey. No samples were selected in the study as it was aimed to reach all 165 hospital employees with the foregoing titles. At the end of the study, a total of 110 questionnaires were completed and returned, making the response rate 66.6%.

Data Collection Tool
The survey method was used to collect data for the study. The questionnaire consisted of three parts. The first part contained a 4-item scale measuring perceptions of psychological contract violation developed by Robinson and Morrison (2000). The organizational trust scale developed by Tokgöz (2012) utilizing a variety of previous studies (Mayer et al., 1995; McAllister, 1995; Whitener et al., 1998) was included in the second part. This scale consisted of three dimensions: (1) trust in the organization, (2) trust in the manager, and (3) trust in colleagues. The third part of the questionnaire contained statements relating to organizational alienation. These statements were either directly or indirectly obtained from various references (Aiken & Hage, 1966; Banai & Weisberg, 2003; Huang, 2006; Hsiung, 1990; Kohn, 1976; Mottaz, 1981; Nettler, 1957; Ofluoğlu & Büyükyilmaz, 2008; Özçınar, 2001; Seeman, 1967; Wang, 1991) as a result of a literature search performed by the researcher. The last part of the data collection tool contained open and closed-ended questions related to the personal and professional characteristics of the employees. A 5-point Likert scale was used to evaluate the items in the first three parts of the questionnaire.

The Cronbach’s Alpha confidence coefficients of the scale measuring perceptions of psychological contract violation, the organizational trust scale, the organizational alienation scale were .97, .98, and 0.98, respectively. Since the Cronbach’s Alpha values were less than 60%, the scales can be said to have statistically acceptable confidence levels.

Findings
A total of 110 individuals participated in the study, which was conducted on physicians, other health professionals (midwives, nurses, and x-ray - laboratory technicians) and administrative staff of a public hospital in Turkey, and the distribution of respondents’ socio-demographic characteristics is given in Table 1.

When the distribution of the hospital employees by gender is examined, it is seen that 55.5% of the respondents were female and that 80.9% of the employees were married. In view of their educational status, it is seen that most of the employees had earned a BSc degree (47.3%), followed by those with an associate degree (38.2%).
The majority of the respondents were between the ages of 26 and 35. When the results are examined in terms of total length of service, it is clear that the high minority of employees length of employment ranged between 11 and 15 years (44.5%).

Table 1  
*Distribution of the Respondents by Socio-Demographic Characteristics*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc/PhD/TUS (the exam for medical specialization)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 and younger</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 and older</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 5 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 16 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the respondents’ assessments related to the study’s variables. According to this table, the mean (3.06 ± 1.02) for hospital employees’ perceptions of psychological contract violation was slightly above the midpoint. Hospital employees’ levels of organizational trust (2.68 ± 0.70) was close to the mean and lower, as compared to the other variables, whereas their level of organizational alienation (3.50 ± 0.76) was higher. As for the skewness and kurtosis values observed in Table 2 showing whether the data are normally distributed, the skewness values ranged between -0.37 and -0.79 and the kurtosis values ranged between -0.14 and -0.75.

Table 2  
*The Respondents’ Assessments related to Psychological Contract Violation, Organizational Trust, and Organizational Alienation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S. Deviation</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Contract Violation</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Trust</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Alienation</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Table 3, which shows the respondents’ socio-demographic characteristics and the effects of their perceptions toward psychological contract violation on their organizational trust levels, the model accounted for only 25% of the assessments related to perceived organizational trust. In terms of its significance level, it is clear that the model was significant at all levels as a whole ($F = 8.137; p = .000$). The Durbin Watson coefficient, used to test whether there is any autocorrelation in the model, was 2.174, and Durbin Watson values ranging from 1.5 to 2.5 showed that there was no autocorrelation. Another important statistic observed in Table 3 is the VIF indicating whether there is any multicollinearity problems in the model. The VIF values ranged from 1.055 to 1.227, showing that there no multicollinearity existed between independent variables. Table 3 also lists the parameter values of the model obtained as a result of estimation and related statistics values and significance levels.

According to the table, those with a high level of education tend to have greater trust in the organization. Those employees with 11 to 15 years of service had lower levels of trust. Those whose ages ranged from 36 to 45 (with more than 15 years of service) had higher levels of trust. Additionally, trust in the organization decreased as one’s perception of psychological contract violation increased. Standardized beta coefficients demonstrated that the effect of having a post-graduate degree on one’s trust in his organization ($\beta = .349$) was higher when compared to the other variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>Std. β coefficient</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Fixed)</td>
<td>13.521</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate degree</td>
<td>.349</td>
<td>3.896</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>3.407</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Service (11-15)</td>
<td>-.277</td>
<td>-3.183</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>1.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age(36-45)</td>
<td>.210</td>
<td>2.454</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Contract Violation</td>
<td>-.224</td>
<td>-2.588</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>1.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R² = .247</td>
<td>F = 8.137</td>
<td>p = .000</td>
<td>Durbin- Watson = 2.174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 4, the generated model accounted for 53.8% of the hospital employees’ assessments related to organizational alienation. Since the test revealed the significance level of the model as a whole ($F = 32.741; p = .000$), it is of significance. While the Durbin Watson coefficient for the model (DW = 1.551) ranged between 1.5 and 2.5, indicating no autocorrelation problems, the VIF values were very small figures of less than 10, ranging from 1.055 to 1.071, indicating no multicollinearity problems.
As a result of the stepwise regression analysis performed, the independent variables introduced into the model showed that age (46 years and above) had a significant effect on participants’ sense of alienation from the organization ($p < .05$). Those employees aged 46 years and older displayed a lower level of alienation from the organization. In addition, trust in the manager and colleagues, both dimensions of organizational trust, as well as participants’ perception of psychological contract violation affected organizational alienation. Accordingly, while alienation from the organization decreased as one’s trust in his manager and colleagues increased, it increased as one’s perception of psychological contract violation increased.

### Discussion, Results, and Recommendations

This study was conducted in order to reveal psychological contracts’ importance in work life, on the one hand, and the correlation between hospital employees’ perceptions of psychological contract violation and their levels of organizational trust and organizational alienation, on the other.

A total of 110 individuals participated in the current study, which was conducted in a public hospital. As a result of the study, the hospital employees were found to have a moderate level of perceived psychological contract violation ($3.06 \pm 1.02$). Similarly, a study by Mimaroğlu (2008) conducted on 457 medical sales representatives in Turkey and a study by Katrinli et al. (2011) conducted on 148 nurses in a private hospital in Turkey reported lower levels of perceived psychological contract violation (2.64 and 1.82, respectively).

At the end of this study, it was determined that the hospital employees’ levels of organizational trust ($2.68 \pm 0.70$) were below moderate. Similarly, in a study by Top (2012) conducted on 304 physicians and 254 nurses working in 5 teaching and research hospitals in Istanbul, the level of organizational trust ($3.85/7$-point Likert) was found to be below moderate. On the contrary, higher levels ($5.06/7$-point Likert scale) of organizational trust were reported by Tokgöz and Seymen (2013) in their...
study conducted on 220 employees in 2 hospitals in Balikesir province. Durukan et al. (2010) conducted a study on 138 nurses in a university hospital in Ankara, whose results were 3.55 on a 5-point Likert scale. Moreover, Bahrami et al. (2012) conducted a study on 165 nurses in a healthcare center in Iran, whose results were between 3.00 to 3.31 on a 5-point Likert scale.

The current study also found that the hospital employees’ levels of organizational alienation (3.50 ± 0.76) were above moderate. Consistent with this result, previous studies conducted in Turkey, including a study by Yardan, Demirkiran, and Yeşilyurt (2014) conducted on the medical staff at a teaching and research hospital in Turkey (n = 149), a study by Yetiş (2013) conducted on nurses from 4 hospitals in Erzurum (n = 593), a study by Usul and Atan (2014) conducted on the medical staff at Konya Teaching and Research Hospital (n = 150), a study by Darıyemez (2010) conducted on the medical staff of Baskent University Hospital in Ankara (n = 60), found alienation levels above 3, which is the medium level.

According to the findings of the current study, organizational trust decreased and organizational alienation increased as participants’ perception of psychological contract violation increased. Yet, organizational alienation decreased as participants’ trust in their manager and colleagues increased. These results fit well with study’s hypotheses as well as the literature. Similarly, in a study conducted on 350 pharmaceutical company representatives from Konya, Yilmaz (2012) identified a moderate negative correlation between perceived psychological contract violation and organizational trust. Similarly, in a study by Robinson (1996) conducted on 125 managers from various institutions in the United States, managers’ perceptions toward psychological contract violation were found to significantly affect organizational trust. İşçi, Taştan, and Akyol (2013) established a moderate negative correlation between organizational trust and the level of alienation in their study conducted on 128 employees and 15 managers from 4 hospitals in Istanbul.

In conclusion, managers should be aware of the importance of psychological contracts in increasing their employees’ levels of organizational trust and in decreasing their levels of alienation. Psychological contracts can vary from person to person. Therefore, managers should try to understand their employees and provide them with a business environment so that they can express their expectations. Both sides should be open and in constant communication with each other and make a concerted effort to fulfill their promises.
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